

CMHAMM

Work Group Meeting Minutes

<p>WORK GROUP NAME: <u>Affiliation SD Workgroup</u></p> <p>LOCATION OF MEETING: <u>CEI CMH</u></p> <p>DATE: <u>February 16, 2011</u></p>	<p>PRESENT AT MEETING: (please identify the note-taker*)</p> <p>George Ott (M/B), Pam Faching (Gratiot), Julie Dowling (Ionia), Brenda Hodges (Ionia), Chris Briggs (Newaygo), Amy Kurtti (Newaygo), Al Platt (CEI) and Kim Zimmerman (notetaker)</p> <p><u>Guest(s):</u></p>	<p>cc: Work Group Members (list names of members and CMHSP they represent):</p> <p>Mike Hetzman (Gratiot), Sue Pointdexter (Gratiot), Pam Faching (Gratiot), Julie Dowling (Ionia), Ingemar Johansson (M/B), George Ott (M/B), Mary Clissold (CEI), Terry Ekkens (Newaygo), Amy Kurtti (Newaygo) and Kim Zimmerman (Affiliation Staff)</p>
---	--	---

Topics Discussed (identify the workgroup charge being discussed)	Discussion/Decisions (Provide details on member discussion and any decisions/recommendations being made)	Action To Be Taken/Responsible Party To Complete Action:	Due Date of Action:	Status (identify if charge is completed, in progress or if there are barriers to completing)
Draft Technical Requirement for FI's (put out by MDCH)	The group reviewed the draft technical requirement for Fiscal Intermediaries that was put out by MDCH. The feedback period for this is over at this time. Since it is a TR, it will be required for us to be compliant with the standards listed within the document once it is out in final form. The group was asked to review their current contracts and agreements to make sure they are compliant with the TR.	Kim will inform the group when the TR comes out in final form.	N/A	N/A
Models for SD (Choice Voucher versus the Agency with Choice Model)	The group reviewed both the Choice Voucher Technical Advisory and the Agency with Choice Model Technical Advisory. The Agency with Choice TA has a nice crosswalk within the document comparing the 2 models. We went over the	This will continue to be a discussion topic at future meetings	N/A	N/A

Topics Discussed (identify the workgroup charge being discussed)	Discussion/Decisions (Provide details on member discussion and any decisions/recommendations being made)	Action To Be Taken/Responsible Party To Complete Action:	Due Date of Action:	Status (identify if charge is completed, in progress or if there are barriers to completing)
	comparisons and differences between the 2 models. At this point, the model being used most within the Affiliation is the Choice Voucher. We will continue to talk about the models and identify any barriers we run into as well as discuss our success stories.			
ARR Update	Reviewed progress on goals for section 4 of the ARR which pertains to PCP and SD. Kim will send out the data spreadsheet soon so that each agency can submit their numbers regarding the goals for use of FI's, the number of individual budgets, and how many consumers are using an Independent Facilitator	Kim to send out spreadsheet soon to each agency	By next meeting	In progress
SD Data	DCH has requested that we submit the number of individuals utilizing a SD arrangement by agency and population. Kim sent out an email recently requesting this information, but has not received it from everyone. Please submit this information to Kim as soon as possible.	Kim to submit numbers to DCH.	N/A	N/A
Group Discussion on SD implementation with MI population	The group discussed how they are implementing SD arrangements with the MI population. The numbers of MI consumers using SD arrangements are very limited within our Affiliation mostly due to lack of interest among the MI population and the lack of a need to hire their own staffing. We discussed how SD arrangement for the MI population will be more focused on the process of recovery and less on hiring staff and using an FI arrangement. Ionia shared a success story they have had with an MI individual who they have used non-traditional use of services and supports to assist the	Kim will follow up with Ellen Sugrue-Hyman and report back to the group	N/A	N/A

Topics Discussed (identify the workgroup charge being discussed)	Discussion/Decisions (Provide details on member discussion and any decisions/recommendations being made)	Action To Be Taken/Responsible Party To Complete Action:	Due Date of Action:	Status (identify if charge is completed, in progress or if there are barriers to completing)
	individual with meeting their goals. Kim will discuss with Ellen Sugrue-Hyman how DCH will be looking at SD arrangements for those with MI and how this needs look more at recovery and non-traditional uses of services and supports and less on using an FI to hire staffing.			
Other Business:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We discussed again today the need to provide consumers with an estimated cost of their supports and services identified in the PCP. This is part of the revised MDCH PCP Policy and Practice Guidelines. The Affiliation Clinical Records group has begun to look at this to see if it can be included as part of the electronic medical record. Kim will talk with the IS rep and reps from the CFO group to see if we can arrange a group meeting to ensure that all aspects are looked at prior to this being initiated. • Group discussed doing an Affiliation wide training for SD. The Michigan Partners for Freedom was suggested as an option. Kim will talk with Ellen Sugrue-Hyman about additional options for training opportunities and report back to the group. • SD Leadership Seminar Schedule: (sponsored by MDCH) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 03/29/11 (9:00am to 4:00pm) 	Kim will talk with reps from IS and the CFO group about a joint meeting.	N/A	N/A

Topics Discussed (identify the workgroup charge being discussed)	Discussion/Decisions (Provide details on member discussion and any decisions/recommendations being made)	Action To Be Taken/Responsible Party To Complete Action:	Due Date of Action:	Status (identify if charge is completed, in progress or if there are barriers to completing)
Local Updates:	Nothing shared during today's meeting	N/A	N/A	N/A
Next Meeting:	<p>May 18, 2011 1:00pm to 3:00pm CEI CMH</p> <p>Will use WebEx for the meeting</p> <p>An invitation will be sent out the day prior to the scheduled meeting</p>	N/A	N/A	N/A