

IPLT Reporting Form:

Please place a check mark in the box to the left of the group this report is representing:

<input type="checkbox"/>	Affiliates' Co-Occurring Change Agents Reports	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other Affiliation Grants
<input type="checkbox"/>	Jail Diversion	<input type="checkbox"/>	Recovery Council
<input type="checkbox"/>	Family Psychoeducation	<input type="checkbox"/>	PIHP Clinical Directors
<input type="checkbox"/>	COD-IDDT	<input type="checkbox"/>	Self Determination
<input type="checkbox"/>	Parent Management Training	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Other: Wraparound Process

Date: 8/20/09 Name of Representative giving report: Mike Geohan Newaygo Community Mental Health

Please Identify the Population(s) Served/Involved: Children in, at risk of , or returning from out of home placement

Summary of Outcomes: (this information will be based on tasks/outcomes given to the group)

Newaygo County CMH began utilizing the Wraparound Process since 1994. Currently there are 12 children/families being served in that process. For the period of 2006-2008 74 children had been referred to the Wraparound process. Of the original 74 referrals 46 children exited the program; Following the initial referral 10 families refused services and 8 children were referred to other more appropriate services or programs; 10 children currently receiving services; 33 Wraparound graduations that is their respective goals were met; 8 children discontinued services as a result of change in placement; 3 families left the county; 2 families chose to discontinue services; Of those that “graduated” there was an average decrease of 50 points in their respective CAFAS scores; the average length of stay in the Wraparound Program was 10 months.

Per Mike Hetzman of Gratiot CMH they had recently received notice from Gratiot Family Court that the latter was discontinuing their portion of the monies that helped fund the Wraparound process in Gratiot County. Prior to this news Lutheran Child and Family Services of Grand Rapids had been contracted to provide the Wraparound Services. In light of the recent funding changes the Wraparound services have been suspended with Gratiot CMH looking at viable alternatives such as designating a CSM from their Youth Services Unit to receive Wraparound training. Per Cheryl Kobernik at Manistee –Benzie, their Wraparound Coordinator is their supervisor for Children’s Services however all of the children’s service unit staff have attended Wraparound training as it is a part of the orientation process for that unit. Currently 3 children/families are being served in the Wraparound Program. Cheryl reports that there has been some reorganization in that program and anticipates the process returning to “normal” by October of this year. Cheryl was asked if she there were any barriers or areas of concern relative to the Wraparound Program to which she responded that one of the concerns for M-B was the various applications of “wraparound” that have existed or currently exist within their two counties. M-B has attempted to stay true to the model (fidelity) and with time this has proven to be more effective which apparently has caused concern for Cheryl and her staff as the community thinks that M-B can consequently “wrap” any and all consumers in that process. To which Cheryl identified as one related challenge is managing the need and the scope of their services.

To date (8/19/09) this reporter has not received any information from Ionia or CEI relative to their respective Wraparound Programs.

Outstanding Tasks/Outcomes not yet completed:

__ As indicated in the preceding section not all affiliates responded to this reporter's earlier request for information regarding their respective Wraparound Programs. Given that this is a new reporting process within IPLT it is assumed that it may take some time for all affiliates to become acclimated to this process.

_This reporter was not clear in requesting outcomes from affiliates relative to their respective Wraparound Programs. This can be added for future reports.

Barriers to completing Tasks/Outcomes:

_Identified barriers would include limited or restricted funding from community partners, misunderstanding by community partners as to the focus and intent of the Wraparound Program which in operation is actually a process rather than a specific "Program". Though Cheryl makes an excellent point in that the "wraparound process" has application in many situations and age groups.

Does the group require any assistance to complete tasks/outcomes: _____ Yes _____ No Unknown x
If yes, what is needed?
